UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:15-CV-588

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

v.

WARD AND BREY PERSONAL PROPERTY SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED

Defendants.

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Consent Motion to Stay [D.E. 11]. Claimant move pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2254 and § 981(g)(2) to stay this civil forfeiture proceeding. The Court GRANTS the Motion to Stay.

The civil complaint was filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2254, which incorporates the procedures of 18 U.S.C. § 981.

Section 981(g)(2) provides that upon the filing of a motion by a claimant, the Court shall stay a civil forfeiture proceeding where: (1) the claimant is the subject of a related criminal case or investigation; (2); the claimant has standing to assert a claim in the civil forfeiture proceeding; and (3) the continuation of the forfeiture proceeding would burden the right of the claimant against self-incrimination in the related criminal case. 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(2).

Upon a review of the record in this case, the Courts finds that a related criminal investigation is currently ongoing that involves similar facts, witnesses, and circumstances to this civil forfeiture proceeding. See 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(4).

Moreover, Claimants have standing to assert a claim in these forfeiture proceedings.

Finally, a stay of these proceedings is warranted pursuant to Section 981(g) because civil discovery will burden Claimant's right against self-incrimination in the related criminal investigation. See 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(2).

The Court, therefore, GRANTS the Motion to Stay and stays these proceedings until such time as the related criminal proceedings have been finally determined.

SO ORDERED. This <u>5th</u> day of <u>February</u>, 2016.

LOUISE W. FLANAGAN

U.S. District Judge